V.K. BALI, C.J. & M.RAMACHANDRAN, J.

W.P.(C) No.2555 of 2007

Dated, this the 23rd day of January, 2007

JUDGMENT

V.K.Bali,C.J.(Oral)

We have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Pleader.

2. Considering the fact that only a show cause notice has been issued it would not be a case to interfere at this stage. Petitioners may take all objections that may be permissible under law while responding to the show cause notice inclusive of that the person who issued the notice Ext.P1 was not authorised to do so. If pursuant to the notice issued to the petitioners some adverse orders are passed such orders shall not be implemented for a period of one month enabling the petitioners to have recourse to the remedy of appeal.

With the observation as made above this writ petition is disposed of.

V.K. BALI,
CHIEF JUSTICE.

M.RAMACHANDRAN,
JUDGE.

vns

V.K. BALI, C.J. & M.RAMACHANDRAN, J.

W.P.(C) No.2555 of 2007

Dated, this the 23rd day of January, 2007

JUDGMENT

V.K.Bali,C.J.(Oral)

We have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Pleader.

2. Considering the fact that only a show cause notice has been issued it would not be a case to interfere at this stage. Petitioners may take all objections that may be permissible under law while responding to the show cause notice inclusive of that the person who issued the notice Ext.P1 was not authorised to do so. If pursuant to the notice issued to the petitioners some adverse orders are passed such orders shall not be implemented for a period of one month enabling the petitioners to have recourse to the remedy of appeal.

With the observation as made above this writ petition is disposed of.

V.K. BALI,
CHIEF JUSTICE.

M.RAMACHANDRAN,
JUDGE.

vns